

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,942	0/643,942 08/20/2003		Man Chan	007198-538	5397
21839	7590	01/27/2006		EXAM	INER
		ERSOLL PC	KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE		
(INCLUDING POST OFFIC		S, DOANE, SWECK 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				1761	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,942	CHAN, MAN				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	O a sala L. Kata a	4704				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication of	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	un the correspondence address				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed to the property of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expi	d), which is after the expiration of the red on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejec						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fi Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appe					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). 						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the Notice of				
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which is				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record	l, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of		d because the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:						
		All Cons				
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE A TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1703				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term.	ndraw the holding of abandonment					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ce of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 5				